Flare-out conditions in static thin-shell wormholes

S. Habib Mazharimousavi* and M. Halilsoy†
Department of Physics, Eastern Mediterranean University, Gazimağusa, north Cyprus, Mersin 10, Turkey.
(Dated: September 30, 2014)

We reconsider the generalized flare-out conditions in static wormhole throats given by Hochberg and Visser. We show that due to the presence of matter sources on the throat, these conditions are not applicable to the thin-shell wormholes.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Gz, 04.20.Cv
Keywords: Thin-Shell wormhol; Flare-out condition; HV theorem

arXiv:1406.4092v2 [gr-qc] 29 Sep 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

Much has been written about traversable wormholes - the short-cut hypothetical channels - between distant points of the same or different universes. A safe passage is assumed provided the tunnel resists against collapse for a considerable time. Repulsion against gravitational collapse is provided by a negative energy density which is absent in large amounts in our world. Although at atomic scales quantum theory comes to our rescue such scales are for elementary particles / photons, not for humans. Let us add that Modified Einstein theories admit wormholes which are supported by normal, non-exotic matter. The difficulty is to find similar objects in the simplest theory of gravity, namely Einstein's general relativity. Exploring such structures relies on our understanding of the physics of the tunnel and its narrowest surface: the throat. It was suggested first by Morris and Thorne [1] that the minimal $2-$dimensional surface of the throat must satisfy the flare-out conditions. This ensured connection to distant points provided the points belong to asymptotically flat universes. This is an ideal case which can be relaxed, i.e. even for non-asymptotically flat spaces construction of wormholes may be taken for granted.

The flare-out conditions for a throat set by Morris and Thorne [1] were generalized by Hochberg and Visser [2]. In the latter, known as the generalized Morris-Thorne flare-out conditions they employ the extrinsic curvature tensor K_{ab} and its trace, $tr\left(K\right)=g^{ab}K_{ab}$, for $(a,b)\in\Sigma$, the $2-$dimensional geometry of the throat. In brief it states that the area of the throat $A\left(\Sigma\right)$ satisfies both $\delta A=0$ and $\delta^{2}A\geq 0$ for the minimality requirement. These amount to $tr\left(K\right)=0$ and $\frac{\partial(tr(K))}{\partial n}\leq 0$, for the normal direction n to the $2-$dimensional geometry of the throat.

We show in this Brief Report that the generalized Morris-Thorne flare-out conditions introduced for a wormhole are not applicable to a thin-shell wormhole (TSW) which is constructed by the cut-and-paste technique. For this purpose we split the geometry in Gaussian normal coordinates into $T\times\Sigma$ and apply the Israel junction conditions [5]. The very existence of surface energy density σ at the junction of the TSW violates $tr\left(K\right)=0$ condition but yet the minimality of the area can be preserved. This is not as a result of a mathematical proof but rather as a requirement to define the existence of a throat. The distinction can be seen in Fig. 1, between a normal wormhole and a TSW. In the latter case the lack of smoothness at the throat prevents the metric functions to admit continuous derivatives. For the TSW we proceed to propose the condition $tr\left(K\right)\gtrless 0$, irrespective of the minimality of area. This yields further that the surface energy-density σ can locally be negative / positive, but more importantly its total (i.e. the integral of σ), which matters physically may turn out to be positive. This is shown by application to the non-spherical Zipoy-Voorhees (ZV) [6, 7] metric through numerical plots for tuned parameters.

II. WEAKER FLARE-OUT CONDITIONS FOR TSWS

Hochberg and Visser, [2], generalized the minimal area condition for wormholes given previously by Morris and Thorne in [1]. Based on [2] an arbitrary static spacetime (which is supposed to be a wormhole) can be written as

$$ds^{2}=g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu}=-\exp\left(2\phi\right)dt^{2}+g_{ij}^{(3)}dx^{i}dx^{j} \qquad (1)$$

in which $\mu,\nu=0,1,2,3$ while $i,j=1,2,3$ and $\phi=\phi\left(x^{i}\right)$. The definition of a throat for the traversable wormhole, following [1, 2] is given to be *a two dimensional hypersurface Σ of minimal area taken in one of the constant-time spatial slices.* The area of the throat is given by

$$A\left(\Sigma\right)=\int\sqrt{g^{(2)}}d^{2}x. \qquad (2)$$

A further step is taken if one uses the Gaussian normal coordinates with $x^{i}=(x^{a},n)$ and rewrites

$$g_{ij}^{(3)}dx^{i}dx^{j}=g_{ab}^{(2)}dx^{a}dx^{b}+dn^{2}. \qquad (3)$$

Now, the question is "*Are the generalized Morris-Thorne flare-out conditions [2] applicable to a TSW?*"

* habib.mazhari@emu.edu.tr
† mustafa.halilsoy@emu.edu.tr

To find the answer we start from the beginning. Let's consider an arbitrary static 4−dimensional spacetime of the form

$$ds^2 = g^{(4)}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = g_{00} dt^2 + g^{(3)}_{ij} dx^i dx^j. \qquad (4)$$

We note that here in (4) g_{00} may have root(s) or not and if yes, we call $r = r_h$ to be the largest root, or event horizon. Then we use the standard method of making TSW [3]. The throat is located at the hypersurface $x^i = a$ where i can be only one of the possible spatial coordinates. Without loss of generality we set $i = 1$ and the line element of the throat reads as

$$ds^2_\Sigma = -d\tau^2 + g^{(2)}_{ab} dx^a dx^b \qquad (5)$$

in which $a, b = 2, 3$. The full line element of the throat in a TSW, by definition, is in the form of the Gaussian normal coordinates [4] and therefore the area of the throat is given by (2). Having minimum spatial area for the throat, hence, requires the same procedure as introduced in [2] i.e. $\delta A(\Sigma) = 0$ and $\delta^2 A(\Sigma) \geq 0$ which ultimately end up with the same conditions as the ordinary wormholes i.e., $tr(K) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial tr(K)}{\partial n} \leq 0$. Further study on TSWs, however, manifests that unlike the case of an ordinary wormhole spacetime, in TSW we are allowed to have some matter sources on the throat. The matter supporting the throat should satisfy the standard Israel junction conditions [5] or Einstein equations on the throat

$$\left\langle K^j_i \right\rangle - \langle K \rangle \delta^j_i = -8\pi S^j_i \qquad (6)$$

in which $\langle . \rangle$ stands for a jump across the hypersurface Σ, $\langle K \rangle = \left\langle K^i_i \right\rangle$ and S^j_i =diag$(-\sigma, p_2, p_3)$ with $i, j = \tau, 2, 3$. The $tr(K)$ which must be zero is just the trace of spatial part of the extrinsic curvature tensor (6) which corresponds to the space part of Eq. (5)

$$K^b_a = \begin{pmatrix} \left\langle K^2_2 \right\rangle & 0 \\ 0 & \left\langle K^3_3 \right\rangle \end{pmatrix} \qquad (7)$$

i.e. $tr(K) = \left\langle K^2_2 \right\rangle + \left\langle K^3_3 \right\rangle$. We note that, as it was used in [2], $tr(K)$ referring to the trace of extrinsic curvature of spatial part of Gaussian line element (5) i.e. $tr(K) = \langle K^a_a \rangle$, while $\langle K \rangle$ implies the trace of the $2+1$−dimensional Gaussian line element of the thin-shell wormhole i.e. $\langle K \rangle = \left\langle K^i_i \right\rangle$. In other words, $tr(K) + \langle K^\tau_\tau \rangle = \langle K \rangle$.

Looking closely at (6), one finds the $\tau\tau$ component to be

$$\langle K^\tau_\tau \rangle - \langle K \rangle = 8\pi\sigma \qquad (8)$$

or after considering the $2+1$−dimensional trace implied in (6) $\langle K \rangle = \langle K^\tau_\tau \rangle + \left\langle K^2_2 \right\rangle + \left\langle K^3_3 \right\rangle$ this becomes

$$\left\langle K^2_2 \right\rangle + \left\langle K^3_3 \right\rangle = -8\pi\sigma. \qquad (9)$$

The left hand side is nothing but $tr(K)$ which is supposed to vanish at the throat. In general $\sigma \neq 0$ which violates $tr(K) = 0$ and simply means that the generalized Morris-Thorne flare-out conditions are not applicable to the TSW. However the latter condition does not change the applicability of the original Morris-Thorne's minimality conditions. In Fig. 1 we see the implication of $tr(K) \neq 0$ for a TSW, since derivatives of the metric function are not continuous at the throat. Let us add that $tr(K) = 0$ also indirectly stands for the ordinary wormholes whose throat surfaces trivially have no external energy-momenta.

Based on what we found, for the TSWs in general $tr(K) \neq 0$ while the area of the throat can still be minimum. Nevertheless, $tr(K) > 0$ / $tr(K) < 0$ strongly suggests that $\sigma < 0$ / $\sigma > 0$ on the throat.



FIG. 1: A plot of normal wormhole and thin-shell wormhole. The existence of matter at the throat of thin-shell wormhole causes $tr(K) \neq 0$ unlike the normal wormhole. We also add that the original minimum area condition of Morris-Thorn for both cases are applicable.



FIG. 2: Extrinsic curvature $tr(K)$ with respect to a and y for $\delta = 3$. As it is seen from the figure for large enough a, $tr(K)$ is positive on entire $y-axis$. For small a, $tr(K)$ is not positive everywhere on $y-axis$ but it is not also negative entirely on $y-axis$.

III. ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLES

Next, we consider some explicit examples studied in literature. The first example is the TSW in Schwarzschild spacetime given by Poisson and Visser [4]. In that case

$$g_{ab}^{(2)}=\begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a^2\sin(\theta)\end{pmatrix} \tag{10}$$

and the throat is located at $r=a$. The extrinsic curvature of 2−surface is found to be

$$K_a^b=\begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{a}\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{a}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{a}\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{a}}\end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

with $tr(K)=\frac{4}{a}\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{a}}$ at the throat which is clearly positive. This can be seen when we recall that $a>r_h=2M$.

For the second example we consider the cylindrically symmetric TSW studied in [8]. The bulk metric is given by

$$ds^2=f(r)\left(-dt^2+dr^2\right)+h(r)\,dz^2+g(r)\,d\varphi^2 \tag{12}$$

and the throat is located at $r=a$ with the line element

$$ds_\Sigma^2=-d\tau^2+h(a)\,dz^2+g(a)\,d\varphi^2 \tag{13}$$

therefore we have

$$g_{ab}^{(2)}=\begin{pmatrix} h(a) & 0 \\ 0 & g(a)\end{pmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

As it was found in [8] one finds

$$K_a^b=\begin{pmatrix} \frac{h'}{h\sqrt{f}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{g'}{g\sqrt{f}}\end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$

in which a prime stands for the derivative with respect to r and all functions are found at $r=a$. The trace of the extrinsic curvature is given by

$$tr(K)=\frac{h'}{h\sqrt{f}}+\frac{g'}{g\sqrt{f}} \tag{16}$$

which in general is not zero. For instance, one of the cases in [8] is the straight cosmic string with $f=1, g=W_0r^2$ and $h=1$ with $tr(K)=\frac{2}{a}$ which is not zero but positive.

Our last example has been introduced in [7] which is the TSW in ZV spacetime [6]. The bulk metric of ZV is given by

$$ds^2=-A(x)\,dt^2+B(x,y)\,dx^2+C(x,y)\,dy^2+F(x,y)\,d\varphi^2 \tag{17}$$

where

$$A(x)=\left(\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right)^\delta \tag{18}$$

$$B(x,y)=k^2\left(\frac{x+1}{x-1}\right)^\delta\left(\frac{x^2-1}{x^2-y^2}\right)^{\delta^2}\left(\frac{x^2-y^2}{x^2-1}\right) \tag{19}$$

$$C(x,y)=k^2\left(\frac{x+1}{x-1}\right)^\delta\left(\frac{x^2-1}{x^2-y^2}\right)^{\delta^2}\left(\frac{x^2-y^2}{1-y^2}\right) \tag{20}$$

and

$$F(x,y)=k^2\left(\frac{x+1}{x-1}\right)^\delta\left(x^2-1\right)\left(1-y^2\right), \tag{21}$$

in which $k=\frac{M}{\delta}$ with $M=mass$ and δ is the parameter of oblateness. The range of coordinates are $1<x<\infty$, $-1\leq y\leq 1$, $0\leq\varphi\leq 2\pi$. The throat is located at $x=a=const.>1$ and therefore

$$g_{ab}^{(2)}=\begin{pmatrix} C(a,y) & 0 \\ 0 & F(a,y)\end{pmatrix}. \tag{22}$$

The extrinsic curvature, then reads

$$K_a^b=\begin{pmatrix} \frac{C_a}{C\sqrt{B}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{F_a}{F\sqrt{B}}\end{pmatrix} \tag{23}$$

in which a sub a implies partial derivative with respect to a. The trace of (23) is given by

$$tr(K)=\frac{C_a}{C\sqrt{B}}+\frac{F_a}{F\sqrt{B}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{B}}\frac{\partial}{\partial a}\ln(FC). \tag{24}$$

This is a function of a and y which obviously is not zero. In Fig. 2 we plot $tr(K)$ in terms of 'a' and y for some value of $\delta>2$ which is of interest in [7]. We observe that for large enough a for entire interval of y the trace of $tr(K)$ is positive.

IV. CONCLUSION

The generalized Morris-Thorne flare-out conditions, i.e. $\delta A(\Sigma)=0$ and $\delta^2A(\Sigma)\geq 0$ proposed for general wormholes are weakened for the case of TSWs. This is necessary due to the fact that on the TSW at the throat we have a surface energy-density $\sigma\neq 0$. Accordingly this modifies the vanishing of $tr(K)$. We propose instead that $tr(K)>0/tr(K)<0$ which relates to the sign of the local energy density. Therefore the original minimality of the throat area by Morris-Thorne stays intact by construction while the extrinsic curvature tensor has a nonzero trace at the throat. Derivatives of the extrinsic curvature are not continuous at the throat so that the mathematical proof of Ref. [2] can't be used. The throat can be chosen anywhere through the cut-and paste method beyond singularities or event horizons (if any). This is the strategy that has been adopted in the TSW example of non-spherical ZV-spacetime [7].

[7].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for helpful and constructive comments.

[1] M. S. Morris and K. S. Thorne, Am. J. Phys. **56**, 395 (1988).

[2] D. Hochberg and M. Visser, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 4745 (1997).

[3] M. Visser, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 3182 (1989);
M. Visser, Nucl. Phys. **B** 328, 203 (1989);
P. R. Brady, J. Louko and E. Poisson, Phys. Rev. D **44**, 1891 (1991).

[4] E. Poisson and M. Visser, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 7318 (1995);
M. Visser, *Lorentzian Wormholes from Einstein to Havoking* (American Institute of Physics, New York, 1995);

[5] W. Israel, Nuovo Cimento **44B**, 1 (1966);
V. de la Cruzand W. Israel, Nuovo Cimento **51A**, 774 (1967);
J. E. Chase, Nuovo Cimento **67B**, 136. (1970);
S. K. Blau, E. I. Guendelman, and A. H. Guth, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 1747 (1987);
R. Balbinot and E. Poisson, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 395 (1990).

[6] H. Weyl, Ann. Physik, **54**, 117 (1917);
D. M. Zipoy, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.) **7**, 1137 (1966);
B. H. Voorhees, Phys. Rev. D **2**, 2119 (1970).

[7] S. H. Mazharimousavi and M. Halilsoy, arXiv:1311.6697.

[8] E. F. Eiroa and C. Simeone, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 044008 (2004).